

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 rginia 22313-1450 www.wspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,260	02/05/2007	Ivo Agner	588.1059	3353
23280 77590 07/23/2008 Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 7th Avenue			EXAMINER	
			TRIEU, THERESA	
14th Floor New York, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/562 260 AGNER, IVO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Theresa Trieu 3748 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec. 21, 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-7.9 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt and entry of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on Dec. 21, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-4 have been canceled. Claims 5-15 have been added. Accordingly, claims 5-15 are pending in this application.

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "rotor; rotor slots" recited in claim 5, "vane pump, roller pump" recited in claims 5, 7, 9 and 12-14, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

Application/Control Number: 10/562,260 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they
 include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 70, 72, 74 (see Fig.
- Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR
- 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet

submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either

"Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not

accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

 Applicant is required to show a perspective of the vane/roller pump having a rotor and rotor slots.

Specification

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: (i.e.: "between 46 and 51 degrees" recited in claim 7; between 46 and 55

Art Unit: 3748

degrees" recited in claim 9; "a pressure region...105 degrees" recited in claim 12; "turning points...90 degrees" recited in claim 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 5, 6 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Svenson (Patent Number 2,588,430).

Regarding claims 5, 6 and 11-15, as shown in Figs. 4, 9 and 10, Svenson discloses a pump comprising: a double-stroke delivery contour, the delivery contour having at least one rise zone, at least one large circle region, at least one fall zone, and at least one small circle region, and, a rotor 68 within the delivery contour, the rotor having radially displaceable vanes 110 in radial rotor slots 106, an angular range of the large circle region of the delivery contour being lengthened; the pump being a transmission pump; a length of a suction region being not lengthened; the pump being a 12 vane, and turning points of a displacement contour function in a direction from a suction region to a pressure region are spaced apart by approximately 105°; the pump being a 10 vane, and turning points of a displacement contour function in a direction from a pressure region to a suction region are spaced apart by approximately 90°; the pump is a 10 vane, turning points of a displacement contour function being shifted by approximately 3° in direction of rotation; turning points of a displacement contour function are not spaced evenly about the delivery contour.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,260 Page 5

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svenson in view of legal precedent.

Svenson discloses the invention as recited above; however, Svenson fails to disclose a range of the large circle region of the delivery contour of the 10 vane pump. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the large circle region of the delivery contour on one side extends between 46° and 51°, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220F.2d 454,456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) (see MPEP §2144.05).

 Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svenson in view of legal precedent.

Svenson discloses the invention as recited above; however, Svenson fails to disclose a range of the large circle region of the delivery contour of the 12 vane pump. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the large circle region of the delivery contour on one side extends between 46° and 55°, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Art Unit: 3748

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 220F.2d 454.456, 105 USPO 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) (see MPEP 82144.05).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art

 The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on Dec. 21, 2005 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.

Conclusion

11. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP §2163.06 II(A), MPEP §2163.06 and MPEP §714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,260 Page 7

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT July 18, 2008 /Theresa Trieu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748